

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/008,688	1	1/08/2001	Chiharu Matsukawa	04995/039001	04995/039001 7402		
22511	7590	12/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
OSHA & M				MACKEY, PAT	MACKEY, PATRICK HEWEY		
HOUSTON,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2651			

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/008,688	MATSUKAWA, CH	IHARU					
•	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Patrick H. Mackey	3651						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper re ch places the appl	eply to a ication in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; o	xtension fee under r (2) as set forth in					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below)	;					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ——							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	ed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does N	IOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which w	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 8, 9, 11, 13</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			,					
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:		1						
		Patrick H. Mackey						
		Primary Examiner	r					



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it does not overcome the rejections presented in the Final Office Action.